## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Kendrick L.J. Singleton,	) C/A No.: 1:13-2	104-JMC-SVH
Plaintiff,	) )	
	)	
VS.	)	
Florence Mauney, Associate Warden of	)	
Security; Michael Matthews, Regional	)	
Director of Inmate Classification;	ORD	DER
D. Filmore, Perry Correctional Inst.	)	
Classification Caseworker; L. Buttrey,	)	
Perry Correctional Inst. Classification	)	
Caseworker; and F. Ogunsile Perry	)	
Correctional Inst. Classification	)	
Caseworker,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on December 17, 2013. [Entry #28]. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975) on December 18, 2013, advising him of the importance of the motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. [Entry #29]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Defendants' motion may be granted.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the

1:13-cv-02104-JMC Date Filed 03/05/14 Entry Number 36 Page 2 of 2

court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the

foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this

case and to file a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment by March 19,

2014. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be

recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Davis v. Williams,

588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 5, 2014

Columbia, South Carolina

Shiva V. Hodges

(Shira V. Hodges

United States Magistrate Judge